

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,710	10/31/2001	Shlomo Ben-Haim	20066-86 3830	
7	590 02/06/2003			
William H. D		EXAMINER		
REED SMITH 29TH FLOOR			LAYNO, CARL HERNANDZ	
New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
		DATE MAILED: 02/06/2003		
		No.		
			RD.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,710		10/31/2001	Shlomo Ben-Haim	20066-86	3830	
26418	7590	04/15/2003				
REED SMI	•		EXAMINER			
599 LEXIN	GTON AV	CORDS DEPARTM ENUE, 29TH FLO	LAYNO, CARL HERNANDZ			
NEW YOR	EW YORK, NY 10022-7650		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3762	7	
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003	$\mathcal{O}$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			n/\/>
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1111
•	10/001,710	BEN-HAIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner W. Lauro	Art Unit	
•	Carl H. Layno 2/4/03	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 C</u>	October 2001 .		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under language.  Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	un from consideration		
_	vii itotti consideration.		
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	alastian raquiroment		
Application Papers	election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2001 is/are:		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappro	ved by the Examine	r.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No	
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional	application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO	
S Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/001,710 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

No.3.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's preliminary amendment which was received by the Office on October 31, 2001. This document has been made of record in the file as Paper

2. Claims 1-8 are active.

## **Priority**

- Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority as a Continuation of U.S Application Serial No.09/723,989, filed November 28, 2000, which is now U.S Patent No. 6,330,476, which is a Continuation of U.S. Application Serial No. 09/101,723, filed on August 13, 1998, which is now U.S Patent No. 6,317,631, which is a 371 of PCT/IL97/00012, which claims benefit of U.S Provisional Applications: 60/009,769 (filed 1/11/96), 60/011,117 (filed 2/5/96), and 60/026,392 (filed 9/16/96).
- 4. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/723,989, filed on August 13, 1998.

Information Disclosure Statement

Application/Control Number: 10/001,710

Art Unit: 3762

5. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (PTO-1449) which was received by the Office on October 31, 2001. This document has been made of record in the file as Paper No.2.

## Double Patenting

6. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-8 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,317,631. This is a double patenting rejection.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl H. Layno whose telephone number is (703) 308-3694. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday from 9 AM to 6 PM and every other Friday between 9AM and 5PM. A voice mail or E-mail message (carl.layno@uspto.gov) may be left if desired.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,710 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes, can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The current fax number for this Group is (703) 308-3590. Alternatively, for official correspondence, one may use the RightFax number (703) 872-9302 and for unofficial faxes (703) 746-4873. For after final actions, use (703) 872-9303.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE) Brenda Webb whose telephone number is (703) 305-7520.

CARL LAYNO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Carl H. Layro

CHL 3/4/02